

## SURVEILLANCE REPORT



# Annual summary of outbreaks in New Zealand

2010

Prepared as part of a Ministry of Health contract for scientific services by the Health Intelligence Team, Institute of Environmental Science and Research Limited



May 2011

This report is available at <u>www.surv.esr.cri.nz</u>

First published: 3 May 2011

Suggested citation: The Institute of Environmental Science and Research Ltd. Annual Summary of Outbreaks in New Zealand 2010 Porirua, New Zealand

ISSN: 1176-3485

Client Report FW11026

Reproduction is authorised provided the source is acknowledged.

#### **Acknowledgements**

This report has been prepared by the Health Intelligence Team of the Institute of Environmental Science and Research Ltd. In particular, Liza Lopez contributed to the analysis and reporting of outbreak data for this report.

This report could not have been produced without the continued support of staff in the Public Health Services who provide us with data from their regions. The author would like to thank Gail Greening for the norovirus section, Carol Kliem for data integration, Esther Lim for peer checking, and Kerry Sexton at ESR and John Holmes at the Ministry of Health for their review of the draft report and the helpful feedback they provided.

#### **Disclaimer**

This report or document ("the Report") is given by the Institute of Environmental Science and Research Limited ("ESR") solely for the benefit of the Ministry of Health, Public Health Services Providers and other Third Party Beneficiaries as defined in the Contract between ESR and the Ministry of Health, and is strictly subject to the conditions laid out in that Contract.

Neither ESR nor any of its employees makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for use of the Report or its contents by any other person or organisation.

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

List	of fig	ures	iv
List	of tab	les	iv
1.	Intro	oduction	7
2.	Met	hods	
	2.1	Outbreak definition	
	2.2	Data sources	
	2.3	Data analysis	
	2.4	Data limitations	
3.	Rest	ılts	15
	3.1	Characteristics of outbreaks	
	3.2	Distribution of outbreaks by PHU	
	3.3	Causal agents	16
	3.4	Norovirus outbreaks – strains and setting	
	3.5	Morbidity and mortality	20
	3.6	Outbreak settings	21
	3.7	Modes of transmission	
	3.8	Foodborne outbreaks	23
	3.9	Person-to-person outbreaks	
	3.10	Waterborne outbreaks	
	3.11	Environmental outbreaks	
	3.12	Zoonotic outbreaks	
	3.13	Outbreaks with overseas transmission	
	3.14	Outbreak recognition, investigation and control	
	3.15	Summary of trends	
Glos	sary .		
Refe	erence	S	43
Арр	endix	•••••	47
	Curr	ent Outbreak Report Form (version: 2 October 2010)	47
	Old	Outbreak Report Form (version: 3 August 2007)	

### **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 1. Number of outbreaks per 100 000 population by PHU, 2010	
Figure 2. Laboratory-confirmed norovirus outbreak typing by month, 2010	19
Figure 3. Laboratory-confirmed norovirus outbreak strains by setting, 2010	19
Figure 4. Percentage of outbreaks by agent type and mode of transmission, 2010	22
Figure 5. Number of outbreaks and associated cases by month, 2010	
Figure 6. Outbreak rates and associated cases by year, 2001–2010	
Figure 7. Percentage of outbreaks by agent type and year, 2001–2010	

### LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Outbreaks and associated cases by PHU, 2010	15
Table 2. Outbreaks and associated cases by agent type, 2010	17
Table 3. Hospitalised outbreak cases and total outbreak cases by agent type, 2010	
Table 4. Outbreaks and associated cases by setting of exposure/transmission, 2010	
Table 5. Outbreaks and associated cases by mode of transmission, 2010	
Table 6. Foodborne outbreaks and associated cases by agent type, 2010	23
Table 7: Foodborne outbreaks and associated cases by implicated vehicle/source, 2010	
Table 8. Foodborne outbreaks by causal agent and implicated vehicle/source, 2010	25
Table 9. Foodborne outbreaks by contributing factor, 2010	
Table 10. Person-to-person outbreaks and associated cases by agent type, 2010	
Table 11. Waterborne outbreaks and associated cases by agent type, 2010	
Table 12. Waterborne outbreaks by contributing factor, 2010	
Table 13. Environmental outbreaks and associated cases by agent type, 2010	
Table 14. Zoonotic outbreaks and associated cases by agent type, 2010	
Table 15. Outbreaks with overseas transmission by destination, 2010	
Table 16. Median reporting delay by outbreak type, 2010	
Table 17. Outbreaks by means of recognition, 2010	
Table 18. Outbreaks by control measures undertaken, 2010	

SUMMARY

## SUMMARY

The following is a summary of the main findings in this report:

#### **Incidence and outcomes**

There were 606 outbreaks reported during 2010 involving 6321 cases (1837 confirmed and 4484 probable cases). A total of 94 cases required hospitalisation and one case died.

The highest number of outbreaks was reported by Auckland Public Health Unit, which represented 47.5% (288/606) of all outbreaks in 2010.

Public health units with outbreak rates exceeding the national rate of 13.9 per 100 000 population were Waikato (22.0 per 100 000 population, 80 outbreaks), Auckland (19.5 per 100 000, 288 outbreaks) and Manawatu (16.1 per 100 000, 27 outbreaks).

#### **Causal agents**

The causal agent (pathogen, toxin or chemical) was identified in 71.6% (434/606) of outbreaks involving 75.6% (4905/6321) of outbreak-associated cases.

Enteric agents were implicated in 94.2% (571/606) of outbreaks. The most commonly identified pathogen was norovirus in 25.1% (152/606) of outbreaks, followed by *Giardia* spp. in 16.0% (97/606) and *Cryptosporidium* spp. in 7.1% (43/606) of outbreaks. The most commonly implicated non-enteric agent was *Bordetella pertussis*, which was identified in 2.8% (17/606) of outbreaks.

#### **Outbreak settings**

The most common settings where exposure to or transmission of causal agents occurred were the home environment (37.8%, 229/606) and at a restaurant/cafe (13.4%, 81/606).

The highest percentage of outbreak-related cases occurred in rest/retirement homes (23.4%, 1482/6321), followed by continuing care hospitals (18.4%, 1165/6321) and the home (16.4%, 1034/6321).

#### **Modes of transmission**

Person-to-person transmission was reported for 73.6% (446/606) of outbreaks in 2010. Foodborne and environmental transmission was reported for 23.3% (141/606) and 20.3% (123/606) of outbreaks, respectively. Multiple modes of transmission were implicated in 31.8% (193/606) of outbreaks.

#### Sources

Of the 141 foodborne outbreaks in 2010, 75.9% (107/141) had a source or vehicle listed. The most commonly implicated food types in outbreaks were poultry (15.9%, 17 outbreaks) followed by shellfish (15.0%, 16 outbreaks), and dairy (12.1%, 13 outbreaks). The highest percentage of cases was associated with outbreaks linked to grains/beans (16.9%, 135/801 cases), followed by meat (beef) (13.5%, 108/801), shellfish (12.0%, 96/801), and dairy (10.5%, 84/801).

#### Recognition, reporting, investigation and control

Most outbreaks were recognised by increases in disease incidence (55.9%, 339/606), person-to-person contact with other cases (52.8%, 320/606) and when cases attended a common event (27.7%, 168/606).

Time/temperature abuse was the most common factor contributing to foodborne outbreaks (48.9%, 69/141), followed by contamination of food (35.5%, 50/141).

Almost half of all outbreaks (47.3%, 270/571 where information was available) were reported within one week of the onset of illness in the first case. The overall median reporting delay for outbreaks was 7.5 days.

Control measures were reported for 95.7% (580/606) of the 2010 outbreaks. The most common measures undertaken were health education and advice regarding the source (80.9%, 469/606), followed by cleaning and disinfection (56.4%, 327/606).

1. INTRODUCTION

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

Outbreak surveillance in New Zealand has been conducted by the Institute of Environmental Science and Research Ltd (ESR) on behalf of the Ministry of Health since 1996. The outbreak surveillance system collects data on disease outbreaks reported by public health units (PHUs). Since 1997, the outbreak surveillance system has been incorporated as a module within EpiSurv, the national notifiable disease surveillance system.

Outbreak surveillance is undertaken to [1]:

- identify and control widely dispersed outbreaks
- improve outbreak prevention
- assess the impacts of outbreaks and set priorities
- evaluate prevention strategies
- improve investigation methods
- improve public health training
- improve understanding of emerging diseases
- meet international reporting requirements.

2. METHODS

## 2. METHODS

#### 2.1 Outbreak definition

The Manual for Public Health Surveillance in New Zealand[2] states that the following types of outbreaks should be reported:

- two or more cases linked to a common source, in particular where the common source is exposure at a common event, food or water dispersed in the community, an environmental source, or a source in an institutional setting
- a community-wide or person-to-person outbreak (except when the source has become well established as a national epidemic and reporting it as a discrete event no longer serves a useful purpose)
- any other situation where outbreak investigation or control measures are being used or considered.

Outbreak reporting is encouraged for:

- a secondary case in an institution
- household outbreaks if there is a reasonable possibility that the outbreak resulted from a common source exposure for that household group.

Outbreak reporting is not usually required for:

- most secondary cases
- single cases where a specific contaminated source is identified.

#### 2.2 Data sources

Outbreaks are reported to, or identified by, local PHUs. Data on each outbreak are recorded by the PHU on a standardised Outbreak Report Form within EpiSurv. PHUs are encouraged to enter data early as an interim report that can be finalised when further data become available. These data are entered at each PHU via a secure web-based portal, onto the EpiSurv database. The real-time data are collated and analysed by ESR on behalf of the Ministry of Health. The national database is supplemented by data from ESR's Enteric Reference Laboratory, and virology and public health laboratories. If an outbreak is first identified by these laboratory sources, the appropriate PHU is asked to complete an Outbreak Report Form.

The Outbreak Report Form and manual can be found in http://www.surv.esr.cri.nz/episurv/index.php

The Outbreak Report Form consists of the following sections:

- reporting authority (outbreak report date and interim/final report)
- condition and implicated pathogen, toxin or chemical (name of implicated agent and case definitions)
- outbreak demographics (number of cases, outbreak dates, age/sex of cases, incubation period and duration of illness)
- circumstances of exposure/transmission (means of outbreak recognition, setting, geographic location, mode of transmission and vehicle/source evidence)
- factors contributing to the outbreak (specific factors relating to foodborne, waterborne, personto-person and environmental outbreaks)
- management of the outbreak (control measures undertaken)

The terms used in the Outbreak Report Form that relate to this report are defined in the glossary at the end of this report.

A separate data set obtained from the Norovirus Reference Laboratory at ESR was used for the analysis in the norovirus outbreak section. The number of norovirus and sapovirus associated outbreaks reported in this section differ to that reported elsewhere in the report.

#### 2.3 Data analysis

This report contains an analysis of data on outbreaks reported between 1 January 2010 and 31 December 2010, and recorded on EpiSurv as at 17 February 2011. Amendments made to outbreak data on EpiSurv after 17 February 2011 will not be reflected in this report.

The numbers and percentages of outbreaks and/or associated cases were ascertained. Rates were calculated using national and PHU population figures based on Statistics New Zealand mid-year population estimates for 2010.

The categories and subcategories analysed in this report were directly based on fields in the Outbreak Report Form with two exceptions: implicated food sources were grouped into one or more food categories and reporting delay was calculated as the difference between the outbreak report date and the date of onset of illness for the first case.

#### 2.4 Data limitations

The available outbreak data are restricted to the outbreaks recorded in EpiSurv by PHUs. Outbreaks are more likely to be reported if they involve unusual pathogens, notifiable diseases, a large number of cases or a well-defined setting. The differing availability of resources among PHUs may also impact on outbreak reporting at a regional level. For these reasons caution is advised when interpreting the data contained in this report.

Measurement bias occurs when fields in an Outbreak Report Form are incomplete or incorrectly entered. For example, the date of onset of illness for the first case was not reported for 35 outbreaks in 2010.

A new Outbreak Report Form was introduced in October 2010 following consultation with PHU staff (see Appendix for current and old Outbreak Report Forms). As a result of removal or modification of some fields in the new form, some fields reported in previous annual outbreak summaries were no longer available for reporting. New fields added to the Outbreak Report Form will be reported in the *Annual Summary of Outbreaks* in 2011 and onwards.

Different methods of data analysis were used for the *Annual Summary of Outbreaks in New Zealand* before 2005. In 2003 and 2004, interim outbreak reports were excluded from analysis. In 2002, causal agents were categorised as laboratory confirmed versus suspected. As a result of these different analytical methods, comparisons of outbreak trends in past reports should be restricted to the period since 2005.

## 3. RESULTS

## **3. RESULTS**

#### 3.1 Characteristics of outbreaks

There were 606 outbreaks reported in 2010 compared with 640 outbreaks reported in 2009. The national rate of 13.9 outbreaks per 100 000 population in 2010 was lower than 2009 when there were 14.8 outbreaks per 100 000 population. Of the outbreak reports in 2010, 99.7% (604/606) were classified as final, while the remaining two outbreaks were classified as interim. A total of 6321 cases were associated with outbreaks, giving a national rate of 144.7 outbreak cases per 100 000 population. In comparison in 2009, there were 640 outbreaks with a national rate of 249.7 outbreak cases per 100 000 population. Of the 6321 cases in 2010, 1837 (29.1%) cases were confirmed and 4484 (70.9%) cases were probable.

#### 3.2 Distribution of outbreaks by PHU

The highest number of outbreaks (288) and associated cases (2140) was reported by Auckland PHU, which represented 47.5% (288/606) of all outbreaks in 2010 (Table 1). Waikato PHU reported the second highest number of outbreaks (13.2%, 80 outbreaks), followed by Wellington (9.9%, 60 outbreaks) and Canterbury (9.2%, 56 outbreaks) PHUs. The highest outbreak rate (22.0 per 100 000 population) was reported by Waikato PHU (Figure 1) while the lowest outbreak rate was reported by Marlborough PHU (2.2 per 100 000 population). Other PHUs with an outbreak rate higher than the national rate (13.9 outbreaks per 100 000 population) were Auckland (19.5 outbreaks per 100 000 population) and Manawatu (16.1 outbreaks per 100 000 population).

PHU	No. of outbreaks	% of outbreaks (N = 606)	No. of cases	% of cases (N = 6321)	Outbreak rate <sup>1</sup>
Northland	8	1.3	96	1.5	5.1
Auckland <sup>2</sup>	288	47.5	2 140	33.9	19.5
Waikato	80	13.2	617	9.8	22.0
Bay of Plenty	5	0.8	54	0.9	9.9
Rotorua	8	1.3	75	1.2	7.8
Taranaki	12	2.0	75	1.2	11.0
Hawke's Bay	8	1.3	143	2.3	5.2
Gisborne	2	0.3	43	0.7	4.3
Wanganui	8	1.3	142	2.2	12.7
Manawatu	27	4.5	425	6.7	16.1
Wellington <sup>3</sup>	60	9.9	701	11.1	12.6
Marlborough	1	0.2	35	0.6	2.2
Nelson	6	1.0	308	4.9	6.5
West Coast	4	0.7	17	0.3	12.2
Canterbury	56	9.2	1048	16.6	11.7
South Canterbury	3	0.5	41	0.6	3.5
Otago	19	3.1	192	3.0	10.0
Southland	11	1.8	169	2.7	9.7
Total	606	100.0	6321	100.0	13.9

#### Table 1. Outbreaks and associated cases by PHU, 2010

<sup>1</sup> Crude rate of outbreaks per 100 000 population calculated using Statistics New Zealand population estimates for 2010

<sup>2</sup> Includes Northwest Auckland, Central Auckland and South Auckland health districts

<sup>3</sup> Includes Wellington, Hutt and Wairarapa health districts

Results 3

#### Figure 1. Number of outbreaks per 100 000 population by PHU, 2010



Note: 'Insufficient data' denotes where there were fewer than five outbreaks reported in the public health unit/office region.

#### 3.3 **Causal agents**

The causal agent was identified in 71.6% (434/606) of outbreaks involving 77.6% (4905/6321) of the associated cases. Of these, six outbreaks with two causal agents were recorded. No specific pathogen was identified in the remaining 28.4% (172/606) of outbreaks and 22.4% (1416/6321) of the associated cases, all of which were recorded as gastroenteritis outbreaks.

Enteric agents were implicated in the vast majority of outbreaks (94.2%, 571/606) and associated cases (96.9%, 6122/6321) (Table 2). The most common single causal agent implicated in outbreaks in 2010 was norovirus, which resulted in 25.1% (152/606) of outbreaks and 51.0% (3223/6321) of the associated cases. The next most common enteric causal agents associated with outbreaks were Giardia spp. (16.0%, 97/606), Cryptosporidium spp. (7.1%, 43/606) and Campylobacter spp. (4.8%, 29/606). Outbreaks due to Giardia spp. had the second highest number of associated cases (6.0%, 378/6321). The median number of cases associated with each Clostridium perfringens outbreak (39.5 cases) was the highest of any enteric agent, followed by Plesiomonas shigelloides, which was only implicated in one outbreak involving 23 cases.

Non-enteric agents accounted for 5.8% (35/606) of outbreaks associated with 3.1% (199/6321) of the cases in 2010 (Table 2). The five agents involved in more than one outbreak were: Bordetella pertussis (2.8%, 17/606), influenza A(H1N1) 09 (1.2%, 7/606), *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (0.5%, 3/606), dengue fever (0.3%, 2/606) and *Leptospira* (0.3%, 2/606). The median number of cases associated with acute respiratory infection (6.0) was the highest of any non-enteric agent in 2010. Outbreaks due to *B. pertussis* had the highest number of associated cases (1.8%, 111/6321).

Agent type	No. of outbreaks	% of outbreaks (N = 606)	No. of cases	% of cases (N = 6321)	Median cases per outbreak
Enteric <sup>1</sup>	571	94.2	6 122	96.9	4.0
Norovirus	152	25.1	3 223	51.0	17.0
Giardia spp.	97	16.0	378	6.0	3.0
Cryptosporidium spp.	43	7.1	294	4.7	3.0
Campylobacter spp.	29	4.8	113	1.8	3.0
Salmonella spp.	23	3.8	100	1.6	3.0
Rotavirus	21	3.5	291	4.6	12.0
Sapovirus	8	1.3	127	2.0	17.0
Escherichia coli O157:H7	5	0.8	12	0.2	2.0
Shigella spp.	5	0.8	16	0.3	2.0
Clostridium perfringens	4	0.7	168	2.7	39.5
Histamine (scombroid) fish poisoning	4	0.7	13	0.2	2.5
Salmonella Typhi	2	0.3	5	0.1	2.5
Staphylococcus aureus	2	0.3	6	0.1	3.0
Yersinia spp.	2	0.3	13	0.2	6.5
Aeromonas hydrophila	1	0.2	2	0.0	2.0
Ciguatera fish poisoning	1	0.2	2	0.0	2.0
Clostridium difficile	1	0.2	2	0.0	2.0
Hepatitis A virus	1	0.2	3	0.0	3.0
Probable MSG poisoning	1	0.2	2	0.0	2.0
Salmonella Paratyphi	1	0.2	2	0.0	2.0
Toxic shellfish poisoning	1	0.2	8	0.1	8.0
Plesiomonas shigelloides	1	0.2	23	0.4	23.0
Unidentified pathogen <sup>1</sup>	172	28.4	1 416	22.4	4.0
Non-enteric	35	5.8	199	3.1	4.0
Bordetella pertussis	17	2.8	111	1.8	4.0
Influenza A(H1N1) 09	7	1.2	59	0.9	5.0
Mycobacterium tuberculosis	3	0.5	8	0.1	3.0
Dengue fever	2	0.3	4	0.1	2.0
Leptospira	2	0.3	5	0.1	2.5
Acute respiratory infection	1	0.2	6	0.1	6.0
Chemical poisoning from the environment	1	0.2	2	0.0	2.0
Group A streptococcus	1	0.2	2	0.0	2.0
Rickettsia typhi	1	0.2	2	0.0	2.0

#### Table 2. Outbreaks and associated cases by agent type, 2010

<sup>1</sup> More than one enteric agent was reported in six outbreaks with 97 cases

 $^{2}$  All outbreaks with no pathogen identified in 2010 were classified as gastroenteritis

#### 3.4 Norovirus outbreaks – strains and setting

The most common causal agent implicated in outbreaks in 2010 was norovirus, which resulted in 25.1% (152/606) of the outbreaks and 51.0% (3223/6321) of the associated cases. The remainder of this section is based on data from the Norovirus Reference Laboratory. The number of norovirus- and sapovirus-associated outbreaks reported in this section differ to that reported elsewhere in the report.

Norovirus was confirmed by laboratory testing for 80.9% (123/152) of the outbreaks. This is a large decrease in laboratory-confirmed outbreaks from 2009 when 199 outbreaks were laboratory confirmed, but an increase in terms of the percentage of norovirus confirmed compared with 2009 when 73.7% of the outbreaks were laboratory confirmed.

No seasonal winter peak was observed. The highest number of laboratory-confirmed outbreaks was reported in January and the lowest number was reported in May and June (Figure 2). This is quite distinct from data collected over previous years where the number of reported outbreaks has peaked in October each year.

Healthcare institutions (rest/retirement homes and acute or continuing care hospitals) were the most common setting for norovirus outbreaks (39%, 48/123) (Figure 3). Outbreaks were also commonly associated with food-related settings (17.9%, 22/123), home settings (14.6%, 18/123) and hospitals (healthcare/medical settings: 13.0%, 16/123).

Most norovirus strains identified belonged to genogroup II (GII) (106). Only 17 strains belonged to genogroup I (GI). The norovirus genotype was identified by DNA sequencing in 92.7% (114/123) of the outbreaks. One GI strain was not typable. Eight strains from two-person home outbreaks were not genotyped (Figure 3). GII.4 has been the predominant genotype responsible for outbreaks both in New Zealand and overseas over the last 10 years, especially in healthcare and institutional settings[3]. In 2010, GII.4 norovirus strains were identified in 67.2% (43/64) of healthcare-related outbreaks and in 50.0% (57/114) of all outbreaks. A range of both GI and GII genotypes were associated with home, food-related and child-related outbreaks.

#### Gastroenteritis outbreaks caused by other enteric viruses

Specimens from outbreaks found to be negative for norovirus were tested for the presence of sapovirus and astrovirus. These viruses are frequently associated with overseas outbreaks of gastroenteritis.

During 2010, specimens from 90 norovirus-negative outbreaks were analysed for the presence of astrovirus and sapovirus. Sapoviruses were identified in 14 outbreaks. Of these, seven outbreaks occurred in rest-home settings, three in catered settings, two in child-related settings and two in home settings. No astroviruses were detected.



#### Figure 2. Laboratory-confirmed norovirus outbreak typing by month, 2010

Figure 3. Laboratory-confirmed norovirus outbreak strains by setting, 2010



#### 3.5 Morbidity and mortality

Hospitalisation information was recorded for 67.0% (406/606) of outbreaks. A total of 94 outbreakassociated cases (1.5%) were hospitalised. There were over six-times more cases hospitalised for outbreaks due to enteric agents (81 cases) compared with non-enteric agents (13 cases) (Table 3). However, a higher percentage of cases associated with non-enteric outbreaks was hospitalised compared with enteric outbreaks (9.4% versus 2.0%). The non-enteric agent with the highest proportion of hospitalised cases was group A streptococcus (100.0%, 2/2 cases), followed by *Leptospira* (66.7%, 2/3) and *M. tuberculosis* (62.5%, 5/8). The enteric agents with the highest proportion of hospitalised cases were *Shigella* spp. (33.3%, 4/12) and hepatitis A virus (33.3%, 1/3), followed by *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 (20.0%, 1/5).

There was one death associated with a norovirus outbreak in 2010 at a long-term care facility in Auckland.

Agent type	No. of outbreaks <sup>1</sup>	No. of associated cases <sup>1</sup>	No. of hospitalised cases	% of hospitalised cases
Enteric <sup>2</sup>	385	4 026	81	2.0
Norovirus	109	2 285	30	1.3
Salmonella spp.	20	90	9	10.0
Campylobacter spp.	25	103	6	5.8
Sapovirus	6	102	6	5.9
Rotavirus	16	250	5	2.0
Shigella spp.	3	12	4	33.3
Giardia spp.	63	234	3	1.3
Cryptosporidium spp.	29	135	1	0.7
Escherichia coli O157:H7	2	5	1	20.0
Hepatitis A virus	1	3	1	33.3
Staphylococcus aureus	2	6	1	16.7
Aeromonas hydrophila	1	2	0	0.0
Ciguatera fish poisoning	1	2	0	0.0
Clostridium perfringens	2	15	0	0.0
Histamine (scombroid) fish poisoning	3	11	0	0.0
Probable MSG poisoning	1	2	0	0.0
Salmonella Typhi	1	2	0	0.0
Unidentified pathogen <sup>3</sup>	104	851	19	2.2
Non-enteric	21	138	13	9.4
Mycobacterium tuberculosis	3	8	5	62.5
Bordetella pertussis	10	76	3	3.9
Leptospira	1	3	2	66.7
Group A streptococcus	1	2	2	100.0
Influenza A(H1N1) 09	5	47	1	2.1
Chemical poisoning from the environment	1	2	0	0.0
Total hospitalisations	406	4164	94	2.3

Table 3. Hospitalised outbreak cases and total outbreak cases by agent type, 2010

<sup>1</sup>Hospitalisation information was recorded for 67.0% (406/606) of outbreaks, relating to 65.9% (4164/6321) of cases

<sup>2</sup> More than one enteric agent was reported in six outbreaks with 97 cases

<sup>3</sup> All outbreaks with no pathogen identified in 2010 were classified as gastroenteritis

#### 3.6 Outbreak settings

The most common outbreak setting was the home, which was recorded in 37.8% (229/606) of all outbreaks and 16.4% (1034/6321) of cases (Table 4). Commercial food operators were a common outbreak setting, which included restaurants/cafes (13.4%, 81/606), takeaway outlets (6.6%, 40/606), caterers (1.3%, 8/606), supermarkets/delicatessens (0.7%, 4/606) and other food outlets (0.8%, 5/606). Other common institutional settings for outbreaks were rest/retirement homes (11.4%, 69/606), hospitals (continuing care) (10.6%, 64/606), childcare centres (9.9%, 60/606) and hospitals (acute care) (6.4%, 39/606). The outbreak setting was unknown in 2.1% (13/606) of the outbreaks.

Outbreak setting	No. of outbreaks <sup>1</sup>	% of total outbreaks (N = 606)	No. of cases <sup>1</sup>	% of total cases (N = 6321)
Commercial food operators	138	22.8	678	10.7
Restaurant/cafe	81	13.4	414	6.5
Takeaway	40	6.6	120	1.9
Caterers	8	1.3	105	1.7
Supermarket/deli	4	0.7	11	0.2
Other food outlet	5	0.8	28	0.4
Institutions	277	45.7	4 871	77.1
Rest/retirement home	69	11.4	1 482	23.4
Hospital (continuing care)	64	10.6	1 165	18.4
Childcare centre	60	9.9	821	13.0
Hospital (acute care)	39	6.4	569	9.0
School	19	3.1	295	4.7
Hotel/motel	10	1.7	71	1.1
Camp	7	1.2	276	4.4
Hostel/boarding house	5	0.8	111	1.8
Marae	4	0.7	81	1.3
Community	35	5.8	380	6.0
Swimming/spa pool	27	4.5	251	4.0
Community/church gathering	7	1.2	101	1.6
Tangi	1	0.2	28	0.4
Workplace	36	5.9	201	3.2
Farm	24	4.0	78	1.2
Workplace	12	2.0	123	1.9
Home	229	37.8	1 034	16.4
Other setting	39	6.4	525	8.3
Unknown setting	13	2.1	36	0.6

Table 4. Outbreaks and associated cases by setting of exposure/transmission, 2010

<sup>1</sup> More than one setting was recorded for 140 outbreaks with 1280 associated cases

#### 3.7 Modes of transmission

In 2010, the most common reported mode of transmission was person-to-person (73.6%, 446/606 outbreaks), followed by foodborne (23.3%, 141/606) and environmental (20.3% 123/606) modes of transmission (Table 5). Person-to-person transmission also accounted for the highest percentage of cases (84.9%, 5368/6321), followed by the environmental mode of transmission (29.7%, 1876/6321). The mode of transmission was unknown in 4.3% (26/606) of outbreaks.

Mode of transmission	No. of outbreaks <sup>1</sup>	% of total outbreaks (N = 606)	No. of cases <sup>1</sup>	% of total cases (N = 6321)
Person-to-person	446	73.6	5 368	84.9
Foodborne	141	23.3	936	14.8
Environmental	123	20.3	1 876	29.7
Waterborne	56	9.2	235	3.7
Zoonotic	36	5.9	109	1.7
Vectorborne	3	0.5	6	0.1
Other	13	2.1	90	1.4
Unknown	26	4.3	82	1.3

Table 5. Outbreaks and associated cases by mode of transmission, 2010

<sup>1</sup>More than one mode of transmission was recorded for 193 outbreaks with 2235 associated cases

Person-to-person was the most common mode of transmission for enteric bacteria (65.7%, 44/67), enteric protozoa (88.4%, 122/138), enteric viruses (88.2%, 157/178), unspecified enteric pathogens (52.9%, 91/172) and respiratory disease (100%, 29/29) (Figure 4). Foodborne transmission was the principal mode of transmission for toxins (92.9%, 13/14), and it also contributed substantially to outbreaks due to unspecified enteric pathogens (41.9%, 72/172) and enteric bacteria (41.8%, 28/67) (Figure 4). Waterborne transmission was an important mode of transmission for enteric protozoa (29.0%, 40/138) and enteric bacteria (20.9%, 14/67). Environmental transmission contributed substantially to outbreaks of enteric protozoa (30.4%, 42/138) and enteric viruses (30.3%, 54/178).





Note: more than one mode of transmission was recorded for some outbreaks therefore totals may add to greater than 100%

#### 3.8 Foodborne outbreaks

#### **Causal agent**

There were 141 foodborne outbreaks with 936 associated cases reported in 2010, 48.9% (69/141) of which were linked to a causal agent or agents (Table 6). Causal agents most commonly associated with foodborne outbreaks included norovirus (13.5%, 19/141), *Campylobacter* spp. (9.9%, 14/141) and *Salmonella* spp. (7.1%, 10/141). Enteric bacteria (*Campylobacter* spp., *Salmonella* spp., *Yersinia* spp., *E. coli* O157:H7, *S.* Typhi and *Shigella* spp.) were implicated in 20.6% (29/141) of foodborne outbreaks, and enteric viruses (norovirus, sapovirus and hepatitis A virus) in 15.6% (22/141) of foodborne outbreaks.

Agent type	No. of outbreaks	% of outbreaks (N = 141)	No. of cases	% of cases (N = 936)
Norovirus	19	13.5	215	23.0
Campylobacter spp.	14	9.9	62	6.6
Salmonella spp.	10	7.1	56	6.0
Clostridium perfringens	4	2.8	168	17.9
Giardia spp.	4	2.8	13	1.4
Histamine (scombroid) fish poisoning	4	2.8	13	1.4
Cryptosporidium spp.	2	1.4	5	0.5
Sapovirus	2	1.4	24	2.6
Staphylococcus aureus	2	1.4	6	0.6
Yersinia spp.	2	1.4	13	1.4
Ciguatera fish poisoning	1	0.7	2	0.2
Escherichia coli O157:H7	1	0.7	3	0.3
Hepatitis A virus	1	0.7	3	0.3
Probable MSG poisoning	1	0.7	2	0.2
Salmonella Typhi	1	0.7	3	0.3
Shigella spp.	1	0.7	2	0.2
Toxic shellfish poisoning	1	0.7	8	0.9
Unidentified pathogen <sup>1</sup>	72	51.1	340	36.3
Total <sup>2</sup>	141	100.0	936	100.0

Table 6. Foodborne outbreaks and associated cases by agent type, 2010

<sup>1</sup>All outbreaks with no pathogen identified in 2010 were classified as gastroenteritis

<sup>2</sup> Two agents were reported in one foodborne outbreak with two cases, therefore totals add to more than 100%

#### Vehicle/source implicated

Of the 141 foodborne outbreaks in 2010, 75.9% (107/141) had a source or vehicle listed. The main foods implicated in these 107 outbreaks were poultry (15.9%, 17 outbreaks) followed by shellfish (15.0%, 16 outbreaks), dairy (12.1%, 13 outbreaks), fish (7.5%, 8 outbreaks) and rice (7.5%, 8 outbreaks) (Table 7). The highest numbers of cases were associated with outbreaks linked to grains/beans (16.9%, 135 cases), meat (beef) (13.5%, 108 cases), shellfish (12.0%, 96 cases) and dairy (10.5%, 84 cases). No source was identified for 24.1% (34/141 outbreaks).

The largest foodborne outbreaks involved 87 and 66 cases, both were from Auckland and both involved *Clostridium perfringens*. While the first outbreak involving 87 cases was attributed to mince with Mexican bean sauce, the source was not identified in the other outbreak involving 66 cases.

Implicated vehicle/source	No. of outbreaks <sup>1</sup>	% of outbreaks (N = 107)	No. of cases	% of cases (N = 801)
Poultry	17	15.9	73	9.1
Shellfish	16	15.0	96	12.0
Dairy	13	12.1	84	10.5
Fish	8	7.5	24	3.0
Rice	8	7.5	23	2.9
Grains/beans	6	5.6	135	16.9
Oils/sugars	6	5.6	33	4.1
Meat (pork)	5	4.7	57	7.1
Meat (beef)	5	4.7	108	13.5
Meat (lamb)	4	3.7	34	4.2
Vegetables (root)	3	2.8	8	1.0
Vegetables (leafy)	3	2.8	7	0.9
Eggs	3	2.8	59	7.4
Water	3	2.8	20	2.5
Fruit/nut	2	1.9	36	4.5
Vegetables (vine/stalk)	2	1.9	6	0.7
Unspecified food source <sup>2</sup>	46	43.0	377	47.1
Total	17	100	801	100

Table 7: Foodborne outbreaks and associated cases by implicated vehicle/source, 201(							
	Table 7: Foodborne	e outbreaks and	associated c	ases bv im	plicated ve	hicle/source.	2010

<sup>1</sup> More than one vehicle / source was implicated in some outbreaks

<sup>2</sup> A common meal, premises or setting may have been implicated but no specific food items were recorded

Note: Mixed foods were assigned to multiple categories based on the groupings published by Painter et al 2009 [4]. Only explicit ingredients were assigned into a category. All foods within a mixed item were given equal priority.

Foodborne outbreaks with poultry as a possible vehicle or source (15.9%, 17 outbreaks) were most frequently linked to *Campylobacter* spp. (54.5%, 6/11 outbreaks) (Table 8). Foodborne outbreaks with shellfish as a possible vehicle or source (15.0%, 16 outbreaks) were most commonly associated with norovirus (23.1%, 3/13 outbreaks).

Implicated vehicle/source <sup>1</sup>	Norovirus	Campylobacter spp.	Salmonella spp.	Clostridium spp.	Staphylococcus aureus	Histamine (scombroid) fish poisoning	<i>Giardia</i> spp.	Other <sup>2</sup>	Unidenified pathogen <sup>3</sup>	Total number of outbreaks
Poultry	0	6	1	1	0	0	1	1	7	17
Shellfish	3	1	0	0	1	0	0	3	8	16
Dairy	0	3	3	0	1	0	1	2	3	13
Fish	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	3	8
Rice	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	6	8
Grains/beans	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	6
Oils/sugars	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	6
Meat (pork)	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	5
Meat (beef)	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	2	5
Meat (lamb)	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	4
Vegetables (root)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
Vegetables (leafy)	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	3
Eggs	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	3
Water	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	3
Fruit/nut	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Vegetables (vine/stalk)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Unspecified food source <sup>2</sup>	9	1	3	1	0	0	0	2	30	46
Total	13	11	8	4	2	4	2	12	51	107

Table 8. Foodborne outbreaks by causal agent and implicated vehicle/source, 2010

<sup>1</sup>More than one vehicle / source was implicated in some outbreaks

<sup>2</sup> Includes all causal agents listed in Table 7 that were implicated in fewer than three foodborne outbreaks

<sup>3</sup> All outbreaks with no pathogen identified in 2010 were classified as gastroenteritis

<sup>4</sup>A common meal, premises or setting may have been implicated but no specific food items were recorded

#### **Contributing factors**

The factors contributing to foodborne outbreaks most commonly involved either time and temperature abuses (48.9%, 69/141) or contamination of food (35.5%, 50/141). The time and temperature abuses contributing to more than 10 outbreaks were undercooking (12.1%, 17/141), improper storage prior to preparation (10.6%, 15/141) and inadequate cooling or refrigeration of food (9.9%, 14/141) (Table 9). Contamination of food predominantly occurred via cross-contamination with other food (19.1%, 27/141) or via an infected food handler (14.9%, 21/141). Unsafe sources accounted for 9.2% (13/141) of the outbreaks, including 5.7% (8/141) associated with unpasteurised milk. Factors contributing to foodborne outbreaks were unknown in 24.8% (35/141) of the outbreaks.

Contributing factor	No. of outbreaks <sup>1</sup>	% of foodborne outbreaks (N = 141)
Time/temperature abuse	69	48.9
Undercooking	17	12.1
Improper storage prior to preparation	15	10.6
Inadequate cooling or refrigeration	14	9.9
Improper hot holding	10	7.1
Inadequate reheating of previously cooked food	7	5.0
Preparation too far in advance	4	2.8
Inadequate thawing	2	1.4
Contamination of food	50	35.5
Cross contamination	27	19.1
Contamination from an infected food handler	21	14.9
Chemical contamination	2	1.4
Unsafe sources	13	9.2
Use of unpasteurised milk in food preparation	8	5.7
Consumption of raw food	7	5.0
Use of ingredients from unsafe sources	4	2.8
Use of untreated water in food preparation	2	1.4
Other factors	27	19.1
Unknown factors	35	24.8

#### Table 9. Foodborne outbreaks by contributing factor, 2010

<sup>1</sup> More than one contributing factor was recorded for some outbreaks

#### 3.9 Person-to-person outbreaks

#### **Causal agents**

There were 446 person-to-person outbreaks with 5368 associated cases in 2010, 79.6% (355/446) of which were linked to a causal agent type (Table 10). The most common causal agent was norovirus, which was recorded in 29.6% (132/446) of person-to-person outbreaks involving 56.6% (3036/5368) of cases. Other common pathogens included *Giardia* spp. (20.2%, 90/446) and *Cryptosporidium* spp. (7.6%, 34/446). Of the person-to-person outbreaks, enteric viruses (norovirus, rotavirus, sapovirus and hepatitis A virus) were implicated in 36.1% (161/446) of the outbreaks, and enteric protozoa (*Giardia* spp. and *Cryptosporidium* spp.) in 27.8% (124/446), enteric bacteria (*Campylobacter* spp., *Salmonella* spp., *E. coli* O157, *Shigella* spp., *S.* Typhi, *A. hydrophila*, *P. shigelloides*, *S.* Paratyphi and *Yersinia* spp.) in 10.3% (46/446), respiratory bacteria (*B. pertussis*, *M. tuberculosis* and group A streptococcus) in 4.7% (21/446),

respiratory viruses (influenza A(H1N1) 09 and acute respiratory infection) in 1.8% (8/446), and toxins (*C. perfringens*) in 0.7% (1/146) of the outbreaks.

The most frequent causal agent identified in outbreaks where there were 20 or more associated cases was norovirus, accounting for 77.5% (55/71) of the person-to-person outbreaks. The two largest person-to-person outbreaks were attributed to norovirus, one occurred on a cruise ship in Auckland with 247 cases, and the other occurred at a camping ground in the Nelson Marlborough region with 200 cases. The third largest person-to-person outbreak was attributed to *Cryptosporidium* spp. at a swimming pool in Canterbury with 121 cases.

Agent type	No. of outbreaks	% of outbreaks (N = 446)	No. of cases	% of cases (N = 5368)
Norovirus	132	29.6	3 036	56.6
Giardia spp.	90	20.2	355	6.6
Cryptosporidium spp.	34	7.6	269	5.0
Rotavirus	21	4.0	291	5.4
Bordetella pertussis	17	3.8	111	2.1
Campylobacter spp.	17	3.8	50	0.9
Salmonella spp.	14	3.1	47	0.9
Influenza A(H1N1) 09	7	1.6	59	1.1
Sapovirus	7	1.6	124	2.3
Escherichia coli O157:H7	5	1.1	12	0.2
Shigella spp.	4	0.9	14	0.3
Mycobacterium tuberculosis	3	0.7	8	0.1
Salmonella Typhi	2	0.4	5	0.1
Acute respiratory infection	1	0.2	6	0.1
Aeromonas hydrophila	1	0.2	2	0.0
Clostridium perfringens	1	0.2	2	0.0
Hepatitis A virus	1	0.2	3	0.1
Plesiomonas shigelloides	1	0.2	23	0.4
Group A streptococcus	1	0.2	2	0.0
Salmonella Paratyphi	1	0.2	2	0.0
Yersinia spp.	1	0.2	5	0.1
Unidentified pathogen <sup>1</sup>	91	20.4	1039	19.4
Total <sup>2</sup>	446	100	5368	100

Table 10. Person-to-person outbreaks and associated cases by agent type, 2010

<sup>1</sup>All outbreaks with no pathogen identified in 2010 were classified as gastroenteritis

<sup>2</sup> Two agents were reported in six person-to-person outbreaks with 97 cases, therefore totals add to more than 100%

#### **Contributing factors**

Exposure to infected people was the primary contributing factor reported for 97.1% (433/446) of personto-person outbreaks reported. Other contributing factors reported included poor hygiene of cases (29.4%, 131/446), inadequate vaccination coverage (1.8%, 8/446), inadequate vaccination effectiveness (1.3%, 6/446), excessively crowded living conditions (0.7%, 3/446) and a compromised immune system (0.4%, 2/446).

#### 3.10 Waterborne outbreaks

#### **Causal agents**

There were 56 waterborne outbreaks with 235 associated cases reported in 2010, 96.4% (54/56) of which were linked to a specific pathogen (Table 11). The most commonly reported waterborne pathogen was *Giardia* spp. (51.8%, 29/56), followed by *Cryptosporidium* spp. (19.6%, 11/56). Enteric protozoa (*Giardia* spp. and *Cryptosporidium* spp.) were implicated in 71.4% (40/56) of waterborne outbreaks and enteric bacteria (*Campylobacter* spp., *Salmonella* spp., and *Yersinia* spp.) in 25.0% (14/56) of waterborne outbreaks.

Agent type	No. of outbreaks	% of outbreaks (N = 56)	No. of cases	% of cases (N = 235)
Giardia spp.	29	51.8	113	48.1
Cryptosporidium spp.	11	19.6	29	12.3
Campylobacter spp.	9	16.1	52	22.1
Salmonella spp.	4	7.1	14	6.0
Yersinia spp.	1	1.8	8	3.4
Unidentified pathogen <sup>1</sup>	2	3.6	19	8.1
Total	56	100	235	100

Table 11. Waterborne outbreaks and associated cases by agent type, 2010

<sup>1</sup> All outbreaks with no pathogen identified in 2010 were classified as gastroenteritis

#### **Contributing factors**

The most common contributing factor linked to waterborne outbreaks was an untreated drinking-water supply (73.2%, 41/56), followed by an inadequately treated water supply (16.1%, 9/56), recent or ongoing treatment failure (1.8%, 1/56) and source water quality inferior to normal (1.8%, 1/56) (Table 12). No contributing factors were recorded for 14.3% (8/56) of the outbreaks.

#### Table 12. Waterborne outbreaks by contributing factor, 2010

Contributing factor	No. of outbreaks <sup>1</sup>	% of total outbreaks (N = 56)
Untreated drinking-water supply <sup>1</sup>	41	73.2
Inadequately treated water supply <sup>2</sup>	9	16.1
Recent or ongoing treatment process failure	1	1.8
Source water quality inferior to normal <sup>2</sup>	1	1.8

<sup>1</sup> Includes surface water with no treatment, roof collected rainwater with no treatment, groundwater not assessed as secure and no treatment.

<sup>2</sup> Option only available on new Outbreak Report Form

#### 3.11 Environmental outbreaks

#### **Causal agents**

There were 123 environmental outbreaks with 1876 associated cases reported in 2010, 86.2% (106/123) of which were linked to a specific causal agent (Table 13). The most common causal agent identified in environmental outbreaks was norovirus, which was recorded in 36.6% (45/123) of environmental outbreaks and associated with 60.5% (1135/1876) of cases. Enteric viruses (norovirus, rotavirus, sapovirus, and hepatitis A virus) were implicated in 43.9% (54/123) of environmental outbreaks, and enteric protozoa (*Giardia* spp. and *Cryptosporidium* spp.) in 34.1% (42/123), enteric bacteria (*Campylobacter* spp., *Salmonella* spp., *P. shigelloides* and *Yersinia* spp.) in 7.3% (9/123) and other agent (chemical poisoning from the environment (cyanobacteria)) in 0.8% (1/123) of environmental outbreaks.

Agent type	No. of outbreaks	% of outbreaks (N = 123)	No. of cases	% of cases (N = 1876)
Norovirus	45	36.6	1135	60.5
Giardia spp.	28	22.8	91	4.9
Cryptosporidium spp.	14	11.4	211	11.2
Campylobacter spp.	4	3.3	17	0.9
Rotavirus	4	3.3	63	3.4
Salmonella spp.	3	2.4	12	0.6
Norovirus/rotavirus	2	1.6	48	2.6
Sapovirus	2	1.6	43	2.3
Chemical poisoning from the environment	1	0.8	2	0.1
Plesiomonas shigelloides	1	0.8	23	1.2
Hepatitis A virus	1	0.8	3	0.2
Yersinia spp.	1	0.8	8	0.4
Unidentified pathogen <sup>1</sup>	17	13.8	220	11.7
Total	123	100	1876	100

#### Table 13. Environmental outbreaks and associated cases by agent type, 2010

<sup>1</sup>All outbreaks with no pathogen identified in 2010 were classified as gastroenteritis

#### **Contributing factors**

The major contributing factors to environmental outbreaks were exposure to contaminated swimming/spa pools (18.7%, 23/123) and exposure to contaminated environment(s) (16.3%, 20/123), this included exposure to contaminated land, air and built environments, and exposure to other recreational waters (5.7%, 7/123). No contributing factors were recorded for 60.2% (74/123) of the outbreaks.

#### 3.12 Zoonotic outbreaks

#### **Causal agents**

There were 36 zoonotic outbreaks with 109 associated cases reported in 2010, 97.2% (35/36) of which were linked to a specific pathogen (Table 14). The most common causal agent identified in zoonotic outbreaks was *Cryptosporidium* spp. which was linked to 36.1% (13/36) of the zoonotic outbreaks and 32.1% (35/109) of the associated cases. Enteric protozoa (*Cryptosporidium* spp. and *Giardia* spp.) were implicated in 61.1% (22/36) of the zoonotic outbreaks, enteric bacteria (*Campylobacter* spp. and *Salmonella* spp.) in 30.6% (11/36) and other bacteria (*Leptospira*) in 5.6% (2/36) of the zoonotic outbreaks.

Agent type	No. of outbreaks	% of outbreaks (N = 36)	No. of cases	% of cases (N = 109)
Cryptosporidium spp.	13	36.1	35	32.1
Giardia spp.	9	25.0	32	29.4
Campylobacter spp.	7	19.4	23	21.1
Salmonella spp.	4	11.1	12	11.0
Leptospira	2	5.6	5	4.6
Unidentified pathogen <sup>1</sup>	1	2.8	2	1.8
Total	36	100.0	109	100.0

#### Table 14. Zoonotic outbreaks and associated cases by agent type, 2010

<sup>1</sup>All outbreaks with no pathogen identified in 2010 were classified as gastroenteritis

#### **Contributing factors**

Thirty-five outbreaks had recorded exposure to infected animals (97.2%, 35/36). Multiple settings were identified in 11 outbreaks. The most common setting for zoonotic outbreaks was home (22 outbreaks), although 11 of these outbreaks identified another setting. The second most common setting for zoonotic outbreaks was farms (18 outbreaks) and eight of these identified another setting.

#### 3.13 Outbreaks with overseas transmission

There were 15 outbreaks in 2010 with overseas transmission involving 289 cases. Australia and Samoa were associated with two outbreaks each, and all other overseas destinations were associated with a single outbreak (Table 15). The majority of cases associated with overseas transmission contracted norovirus (86.9%, 251/289 cases), followed by *Giardia* spp. (6.9%, 20/289 cases).

Destination	<i>Giardia</i> spp.	Dengue fever	Norovirus	Salmonella spp.	Cryptosporid ium spp.	Hepatitis A virus	Salmonella Typhi	Total
Australia	1		1					2
Cook Islands	1							1
Fiji	1							1
India							1	1
Indonesia		1						1
Norfolk Island			1					1
Philippines					1			1
Samoa	2							2
South America	1							1
Tonga				1				1
Vanuatu						1		1
Vietnam		1						1
United Arab Emirates, Fiji and Thailand <sup>1</sup>				1				1
No. of outbreaks	6	2	2	2	1	1	1	15
No. of cases	20	4	251	6	3	3	2	289

 Table 15. Outbreaks with overseas transmission by destination, 2010

<sup>1</sup> One outbreak recorded with multiple destinations

#### 3.14 Outbreak recognition, investigation and control

#### **Timeliness of reporting**

For the 571 outbreaks where timeliness of reporting data were available, the majority were reported to the PHU within one week of the onset of illness in the first case (47.3%, 270/571), while 34.2% (195/571) of outbreaks were reported between 7 and 30 days (inclusive) after the onset of illness in the first case, and 11.4% (65/571) of outbreaks were reported between 31 and 60 days after the onset of illness in the first case. Forty-one (7.2%) of outbreaks were reported more than 60 days after the onset of illness in the first case.

Reporting delay (time between date of onset of illness in the first case and the report date) varied among the different modes of transmission (Table 16). The shortest median reporting delay (3.0 days) was associated with foodborne outbreaks, followed by other mode (9.0 days) and person-to-person (10.0 days) outbreaks. The longest median reporting delay (50.0 days) was observed in vectorborne outbreaks, with the overall median reporting delay for outbreaks being 7.5 days.

#### Table 16. Median reporting delay by outbreak type, 2010

Outbreak type	No. of outbreaks <sup>1</sup>	Median reporting delay (days)
Foodborne	135	3.0
Other mode	13	9.0
Person-to-person	418	10.0
Environmental	121	16.0
Waterborne	53	21.0
Zoonotic	35	21.5
Vectorborne	3	50.0
Total <sup>2</sup>	571	7.5

<sup>1</sup>Outbreaks were excluded if the date of onset of illness in the first case was missing <sup>2</sup>More than one mode of transmission was recorded for some outbreaks

#### **Recognition of outbreaks**

Almost 56% (339/606) of outbreaks were identified through an increase in disease incidence and 52.8% (320/606) through cases having person-to-person contact with other cases (Table 17). Other frequent means of outbreak recognition included cases attending a common event 27.7% (168/606) and cases being linked to a common source 15.3% (93/606). There was more than one means of recognition for 42.6% (258/606) of outbreaks.

#### Table 17. Outbreaks by means of recognition, 2010

Means of recognition	No. of outbreaks <sup>1</sup>	% of total outbreaks (N = 606)
Increase in disease incidence	339	55.9
Cases had person to person contact with other case(s)	320	52.8
Cases attended common event	168	27.7
Cases linked to common source (eg food, water, environmental site)	93	15.3
Common organism type/strain characteristics between cases)	23	3.8
Other means	27	4.5

<sup>1</sup> More than one means of recognition was recorded for some outbreaks

#### **Control measures**

Outbreak control measures undertaken were reported in 95.7% (580/606) of outbreaks reported in 2010, of which 8.8% (51/580) reported taking no control measures. For the remaining 4.3% of outbreaks (26/606), it was unknown whether control measures were undertaken. The most common measures undertaken were health education and advice regarding the source (80.9%, 469/580), followed by cleaning and disinfection (56.4%, 327/580) (Table 18).

Outbreak control measure	No. of outbreaks <sup>1</sup>	% of total outbreaks (N = 580)
Source	517	89.1
Health education and advice	469	80.9
Cleaning, disinfection	327	56.4
Exclusion	296	51.0
Isolation	184	31.7
Modification of procedures	120	20.7
Closure	66	11.4
Health warning	84	14.5
Treatment	26	4.5
Removal	11	1.9
Vehicle and vector	12	2.1
Removal	5	0.9
Treatment	9	1.6
Contacts and potential contacts	98	16.9
Health education and advice	97	16.7
Chemoprophylaxis	17	2.9
Vaccination	6	1.0
Other control measures	10	1.7
No control measures	51	8.8

#### Table 18. Outbreaks by control measures undertaken, 2010

<sup>1</sup>More than one control measure was recorded for some outbreaks

#### 3.15 Summary of trends

In 2010, the highest number of outbreaks was reported in March (64 outbreaks). The number of outbreaks was more or less stable (range 47 to 51) for the remaining months apart from a decrease in December (41 outbreaks). The highest number of outbreak-related cases occurred in January (1193 cases). This was almost double the number in July which was month in which the next highest number of outbreak-related cases occurred (606 cases) (Figure 5).

Results



Figure 5. Number of outbreaks and associated cases by month, 2010

The national annual outbreak rate for 2010 (13.9 outbreaks per 100 000 population) was lower than the rate for 2009 (14.8), but greater than the rates from 2001 to 2008 (Figure 6). The national outbreak case rate of 144.7 cases per 100 000 population in 2010 was also lower than the 2009 case rate (248.7 cases per 100 000), but was similar to rates in 2006 and 2008.



Figure 6. Outbreak rates and associated cases by year, 2001–2010

Since 2001, the number of outbreaks linked with an identified causal agent has remained close to 70% (range 66.3% to 73.4%). In 2010, 71.6% (434/606) of outbreaks were linked to an identified agent. Since 2004, the causal agent associated with the greatest number of outbreaks and greatest number of outbreak cases has been norovirus, although the number and percentage of norovirus outbreaks and cases has varied considerably from year to year (Figure 7). In 2009, there were 285 norovirus outbreaks with 7428 cases, the highest observed since reporting began in 2001. The number of norovirus outbreaks in 2010 was 152 outbreaks with 3223 cases. The number of *Giardia* spp. outbreaks increased more than four times between 2007 (21 outbreaks and 111 cases) and 2010 (97 outbreaks and 378 cases). Rotavirus has emerged in the last three years as a substantial contributor to outbreaks with 16 outbreaks in 2008, 32 in 2009 and 21 in 2010.

In contrast, the number of outbreaks and the number of cases linked to *Campylobacter* have both decreased since 2006. The number of *Campylobacter* spp.-associated outbreaks reduced by 42.6% between 2006 and 2010 (from 47 to 29 outbreaks), while the number of associated cases decreased by more than 50% (from 221 cases to 113). However, there was an increase in 2010 compared with 2009 (12 outbreaks and 65 cases). *Campylobacter* has consistently remained within the top five causal agents for outbreaks.



#### Figure 7. Percentage of outbreaks by agent type and year, 2001–2010

In 2010, the most common outbreak settings were the home and restaurant/cafes. This is in contrast to the period from 2006 to 2009 where rest or retirement homes and homes were the common settings.

Over the last 10 years substantial changes have occurred in the modes of outbreak transmission. Over this period, person-to-person transmission overtook foodborne transmission to become the most commonly reported mode of transmission. The number of outbreaks linked to person-to-person transmission rose by more than three times between 2001 and 2010 (from 132 to 446, respectively), and in 2010 person-to-person transmission outbreaks outnumbered all other modes of transmission by at least three times. The number of outbreaks linked to foodborne transmission has tended to vary each year with no clear trend. From 2007 to 2009 there were relatively fewer foodborne outbreaks (range 74 to 89), but in 2010 the number increased to 141, which is more in line with the number seen in the years prior to 2007 (range 116 to 192). Environmental transmission is increasingly being reported as a mode of outbreak transmission rising from 13 outbreaks in 2001 to 123 in 2010. When interpreting these trends it should be noted that the proportion of outbreaks with multiple modes of transmission reported increased from 19% in 2001 to 32% in 2010.

In 2010, 15 outbreaks involving 289 cases had overseas transmission. This is higher than in 2009 (5 outbreaks and 54 cases) and 2008 (7 outbreaks and 54 cases). No country was associated with more than two outbreaks per year between 2007 and 2010.

The median delay between date of onset of illness in the first case and the outbreak report date was calculated as 7.5 days for 2010, compared with 4.0 days in 2009 and 2008.

Health education and advice related to the outbreak source has been the most common control measure since 2001. Between 2001 and 2006, modification of procedures pertaining to the source had been the second most common control measure undertaken. In 2007 and 2008 cleaning and disinfection was more commonly reported than modification of procedures. The proportion of outbreaks where it was reported that no control measures were undertaken decreased from 27.8% of outbreaks in 2001 to 4.3% of outbreaks in 2010.

GLOSSARY

## GLOSSARY

#### Common event outbreak

An outbreak due to exposure of a group of persons to a noxious influence that is common to the individuals in the group, where the exposure is brief and essentially simultaneous and all resultant cases develop within one incubation period of the disease. Cases therefore have exposures that are grouped in place and time (synonymous with point source outbreak).

#### Common site outbreak

An outbreak due to exposure of a group of persons to a noxious influence that is common to the individuals in the group, where exposures have occurred at the same place (or site) but over a longer time period than those of common event outbreaks (i.e. grouped in place but not in time). In the Outbreak Report Form, these outbreaks are called *common source in a specific place*.

#### Common source outbreak

An outbreak due to exposure of a group of persons in the community to a noxious influence that is common to the individuals in the group. These outbreaks are subcategorised into common event (where exposures are grouped in time and place), dispersed common source (grouped in time but not in place) and common site (grouped in place but not in time).

#### Community-wide outbreak

An outbreak that occurs among individuals in a community where transmission predominantly occurs by direct exposure of susceptible people to infectious people (synonymous with person-to-person outbreak).

#### Contamination

The presence of a disease-causing agent on a body surface, in clothes, bedding, toys or other inanimate articles or substances, including water and food.

#### Dispersed common source outbreak

Outbreak due to exposure of a group of persons in the community to a noxious influence that is common to the individuals in the group, where the exposures are not grouped in place (and may or may not be grouped in time). These outbreaks are often due to a distributed vehicle of infection transmission, such as a commercially prepared food item or a water supply.

#### EpiSurv

The national notifiable disease surveillance system managed by ESR to record data on notifiable diseases and outbreaks reported by public health units.

ESR

Institute of Environmental Science & Research Limited.

#### Environment

All factors which are external to the individual human host.

#### Exposure

Proximity and/or contact with a potential source of a disease agent in such a manner that effective transmission of the agent and harmful or protective effects of the agent may occur.

#### Household outbreak

An outbreak confined to members of a single household.

#### Institutional outbreak

An outbreak confined to the population of a specific residential or other institutional setting, such as a hospital, rest home, prison or boarding school.

#### Outbreak

Two or more cases of a specific disease or health related condition occurring in a location over a period of time in excess of the expected numbers for the place and time.

#### Source (of illness)

The person, animal, object or substance from which a disease agent passes to a host.

#### **Transmission of illness**

Any mechanism by which a disease agent is spread through the environment or to another person. Mechanisms are defined as either direct or indirect.

## REFERENCES

## REFERENCES

- 1. Lopez L, Baker M, and C. Kieft, *Annual Summary of Outbreaks in New Zealand 2000*. 2001, Institute of Environmental Science and Research Ltd: Wellington.
- 2. ESR, *Manual for Public Health Surveillance*. 2005, Institute of Environmental Science and Research Ltd: Wellington.
- 3. Siebenga, J., et. al., *Norovirus Illness is a Global Problem; Emergence and Spread of Norovirus GII.4 Variants, 2001-2007.* J Infect Dis 2009. **200(5)**: p. 802-12.
- 4. Painter, J., et al., *Recipes for Foodborne Outbreaks: A Scheme for Categorizing and Grouping Implicated Foods.* Foodborne Pathogens and Disease, 2009. **6**(10): p. 1259-64.

## APPENDIX

## **APPENDIX**

#### Current Outbreak Report Form (version: 2 October 2010)

#### **OUTBREAK REPORT FORM**

ALL A MADE IN LOD DO			Outbreak	NO.
Reporting Auth	nority			
Officer responsible	e for investigation		Date outbreak rep	orted
🔘 Interim report	Final report	date finalised		🗇 Not an outbreak
Name of outbreak	(optional)			
Condition and	Implicated Contaminar	it.		
Implicated contan	ninant (pathogen)	*		Unknown
	subtype			
Condition (disease	:)		Other, specify	
Other known cond	lition/implicated pathogen	Ves	No	
Implicated contan	ninant (pathogen)			Unknown
	subtype			
Condition (disease	•)		Other, specify	
CASE DEFINITION	(5)			
aboratory confirm	ned case			
Clinically confirme	ed case			
Clinically confirme Probable case	ed case			
Clinically confirme Probable case Outbreak Dem	ed case			
Clinically confirme Probable case Dutbreak Dem Number of people	ed case		Actual	Approx Unknown
Clinically confirme Probable case Outbreak Dem Number of people Number of cases (	ographics exposed as per case defin above)		Actual	Ο Αρρτοχ Ο υπκπογγ
Clinically confirme Probable case Outbreak Dem Number of people Number of cases (	ed case ographics exposed as per case defn above) Lab confirmed		Actual Number Ho	Approx Unknown ospitalised
Clinically confirme Probable case Outbreak Dem Number of people Number of cases (	ed case ographics exposed Tas per case defin above) Lab confirmed Clinically confirmed		Actual Number Ho	) Approx Unknows
Clinically confirme Probable case Outbreak Dem Number of people Number of cases (	ed case ographics exposed as per case defn above) Lab confirmed Clinically confirmed Probable		Actual Number Ho Number Di	<ul> <li>Approx</li> <li>Unknown</li> <li>ospitalised</li> <li>ed</li> </ul>
Clinically confirme Probable case Outbreak Dem Number of people Number of cases (	ed case ographics exposed as per case defit above) Lab confirmed Clinically confirmed Probable Total		Actual Number Ho Number Di	<ul> <li>Approx</li> <li>Unknown</li> <li>ospitalised</li> <li>ed</li> </ul>
Clinically confirme Probable case Outbreak Dem Number of people Number of cases (	ed case ographics exposed as per case defin above) Lab confirmed Clinically confirmed Probable Total Onset of illness in first case		Actual Number Ho Number Di	<ul> <li>Approx</li> <li>Unknows</li> <li>ospitalised</li> <li>ed</li> </ul>
Clinically confirme Probable case Outbreak Dem Number of people Number of cases (	ed case ographics exposed as per case defit above) Lab confirmed Clinically confirmed Probable Total Onset of illness in first case Onset of illness in last case		Actual Number Ho Number Di	Approx     Unknown     Jospitalised ed     Outbreak ongoing
Clinically confirme Probable case Outbreak Dem Number of people Number of cases ( Outbreak dates Age of cases	ed case ographics exposed as per case defin above) Lab confirmed Clinically confirmed Probable Total Onset of illness in first case Onset of illness in last case Number for which age recorde		O Actual Number Ho Number Di	Approx Unknown  pspitalised ed  Outbreak ongoing
Clinically confirme Probable case Outbreak Dem Number of people Number of cases ( Outbreak dates Age of cases	ed case ographics exposed as per case defin above) Lab confirmed Clinically confirmed Probable Total Onset of illness in first case Onset of illness in last case Number for which age recorde Median age (years)	ed	Actual Number Ho Number Di Range (years)	Approx Unknown pspitalised ed     Outbreak ongoing
Clinically confirme Probable case <u>Outbreak Dem</u> Number of people Number of cases ( Dutbreak dates Age of cases	ed case ographics exposed as per case defit above) Lab confirmed Clinically confirmed Probable Total Onset of illness in first case Onset of illness in last case Number for which age recorde Median age (years) Number of males	sci	Actual Number Ho Number Di Range (years) Number of females	Approx     Unknown  pspitalised  d  Outbreak ongoing
Clinically confirme Probable case Outbreak Dem Number of people Number of cases ( Outbreak dates Age of cases Sex of cases Incubation period	ed case ographics exposed as per case defin above) Lab confirmed Clinically confirmed Probable Total Onset of illness in first case Onset of illness in last case Number for which age recorde Median age (years) Number of males Median	davs he	Actual Number Ho Number Di Range (years) Number of females Range	Approx Unknown  pspitalised ed  Outbreak ongoing  days O hrs

Outbreak Summary		Outbreak No.
Circumstances of Exposure	/Transmission	
How was the outbreak first reco	gnised?	
🗇 Increase in disease incidence	person contact with other cases(s)	
O Cases attended common event	🔘 Common organism ty	pe/strain characteristics between cases
Cases linked to common source	(eg food, water, environmental site)	
Other means (specify)		
Were these cases part of a well- (eg Common event, institutional, If yes, date of exposure	defined exposed group 💭 Yes , environmental, household) If exposure >1 day, da	💭 No 💿 Unknown ste exposure ended
Description of exposure event.		
First setting where exposure occ	urred	Setting unknown
Food premises		Workplace/Community/Other
Restaurant/café/bakery	Hostel/boarding house	Workplace
C) Takeaway	- Hotel/motel	💬 Farm
O Supermarket/delicatessen	C Long term care facility	Petting zoo
Temporary or mobile service	🗇 Hospital (acute care)	O Home
C Fast food restaurant	Prison	C Community, church, sports gathering
C Caterers	Camp	Cruise ship, airline, tour bus, train
Other food outlet	School O Childcare centre	Other setting
a have dely taken	Marae	
	C Other Institution	
Setting name		
Setting Address Number	Street	Suburb
Town/City		Post Code GeoCode
Second setting where exposure of	occurred	Setting unknown
C Food premises	D Institution	Workplace/Community/Other
Restaurant/café/bakery	Hostel/boarding house	U Workplace
C Takeaway	Hotel/motel	O Farm
Supermarket/delicatessen	Long term care facility	Petting zoo
Temporary or Mobile Service	🗇 Hospital (acute care)	O Home
C Fast food restaurant	C Prison	O Community, church, sports gathering
C Caterers	Camp	Cruise ship, airline, tour bus, train
Other food outlet	School Childcare centre	Other setting
	Marae	Carl Contract Street St.
	Other institution	
Setting name		
Setting Address Number	Street	Suburb
	and the second sec	1.2.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1

Outbreak Summary		Outbreak No.			
Circumstances of Exposure	/Transmission contd				
First setting where contaminated	food/beverage was prepared	Setting unknown 🔲			
🔆 Overseas manufacturer, speci	fy				
Food premises	Food premises 💿 Institution				
Restaurant/café/bakery	U. Hostel/boarding house	O Workplace			
💭 Takeaway	Hotel/motel	🗇 Farm			
Supermarket/delicatessen	Long term care facility	Petting zool			
C Temporary or Mobile Service	💭 Hospital (acute care)	Q Home			
<ul> <li>Fast food restaurant</li> </ul>	C Prison	🔿 Community, church, sports gathering			
Caterers	🗇 Camp	📿 Cruise ship, airline, tour bus, train			
Other food outlet	🕑 School 👘 🕘 Childcare centre	Commercial food manufacturer			
	🔿 Marae	Other setting			
	O Other institution				
Setting name					
Setting Address Number	Street	Suburb			
Town/City		Post Code GeoCode			
Second setting where contaminat	ed food/beverage was prepared	Setting unknown 🔲			
Overseas manufacturer, speci	fy				
C Food premises	Institution	Workplace/Community/Other			
Restaurant/café/bakery	- Hostel/boarding house	💭 Workplace			
C Takeaway	Hotel/motel	O Farm			
O Supermarket/delicatessen	Long term care facility	💬 Petting zoo			
C Temporary or Mobile Service	- Hospital (acute care)	O Home			
C Fast food restaurant	🥏 Prison	Community, church, sports gathering			
Caterers	C Camp	C Cruise ship, airline, tour bus, train			
Other food outlet	School O Childcare centre	Commercial food manufacturer			
	(E) Marae	Other setting			
	O Other institution				
Setting name					
Setting Address Number	Street	Suburb			
Tawn/City		Post Code GeoCode			
Geographic location where expos	ure occurred (tick one)				
New Zealand	rseas, specify	Unknown			
If exposure occurred in New Zealand	, specify				
Primary TA					
DHB(s)					
Haalth District/a)					
nearth District(s)					

Jutbreak Summary Dutbreak No.									
Circumstances of Exposure/	Transmis	sion cont	d						
Mode of transmission (indicate the p	orimary mode	and all seco	ondary mod	es)					
E Foodborne, from consumption of c	ontaminated	food or drini	k (excluding	water)					
Mode 🔘 primary 🔘 secondary	9	Level of evid	ence 🔘 1	🔿 2a	O 2b	🗇 .3a	🔘 3b	CT 3c	04
Waterborne, from consumption of	contaminate	d drinking wa	ater						
Mode 🔘 primary 🔘 secondary		Level of evid	ence 🗇 1	🔿 2a	🗇 2b	🔘 3a	🔘 3b	() 3c	04
Person to person spread, from (no	n-sexual) cor	ntact with an	infected pe	erson (inclu	ding drop	lets)			
Mode 🔘 primary 🔘 secondary		Level of evid	ence 🗇 1	🔿 2a	🔿 2b	🗇 3a	🗇 3b	CT 3c	04
Sexual, from sexual contact with a	n infected pe	rson							
Mode 🔘 primary 🗍 secondary	0.59	Level of evid	ence 🗇 1	🔿 2a	🔿 2b	🔘 3a	) 3b	O 3c	04
Parenteral, from needle stick injury	or reuse of	contaminate	d injection	equipment					
Mode 🔘 primary 🔘 secondary	13.4	Level of evide	ence 🛈 1	🔿 2a	🔘 2b	🗇 .3a'	🔘 3b	C1 3c	04
Environmental, from contact with a	an environme	ental source (	(eg swimmi	ng)					
Mode 🔘 primary 🕤 secondary	-	Level of evid	ence 🔘 1	🔿 2a	🔿 2b	🔿 3a	🗇 3b	O 3c	04
Zoonotic, from contact with an infe	ected animal								
Mode 🔘 primary 🔘 secondary	1.1	Level of evid	ence 🔿 1	() 2a	🔘 2b	🔿 Ja	🗇 3b	10° 36	04
Vectorborne, from contact with an	insect vector	c							
Mode 🗇 primary 🔘 secondary	1.1	Level of evid	ence 🗇 1	🗇 2a	🗇 2b	🔘 3a	💭 3b	() 3c	04
Dther mode of transmission (speci	fy)	_	-				_		
Mode 🔘 primary 🔘 secondary	6.0.78	Level of evid	ence 🔿 1	🔿 2a	◯ 2b	🗇 3a	🔘 3b	10 3c	D 4
Mode of transmission unknown 📃									
Vehicle/source of common source Was a specific contaminated food, wate vehicle/source identified? If yes,	outbreak er or environ	mental	Q Ye	25	Q No		Q	Unknow	n
Source 1	-	60	~	-		~	_		_
Level of evidence U 1	() 2a	U 2b	O 3a	3D	U 3c	0.4			
Food category				ESR Upda	ted 🔛	Date	1.00		_
Source 2									
Level of evidence 🕕 1	10 2a	) 2b	(D.3a	O 3b	() 3c	104			
Food category				ESR Upda	ted 🛄	Date			
Source 3									
Level of evidence	() >=	() ah	() 25	() 2h	De	(D) A			-
Food category	0 28	- 20	0.90	FSR Lloda	ted 🔲	Date			
roos sategory				- Lan opua		Sate			

Outbreak Summary	Outbreak No.					
Factors Contributing to Outbreak						
Foodborne outbreak (tick all that apply)	1					
Inadequate reheating of previously cooked food.	Confirmed	Suspected				
Improper storage prior to presentation	Confirmed	© Suspected				
Inadequate thawing	C Confirmed	<ul> <li>Suspected</li> </ul>				
Preparation too far in advance	Confirmed	Suspected				
Undercooking	💭 Confirmed	© Suspected				
Improper hot holding	Confirmed	© Suspected				
Inadequate or slow cooling or refrigeration	Confirmed	Suspected				
Cross contamination due to improper handing or storage	C Confirmed	Suspected				
Cross contamination from an infected food handler	Confirmed	◯ Suspected				
Chemical contamination	$\bigcirc$ Confirmed	Suspected				
Use of ingredient from an unsafe source	Confirmed	C Suspected				
Use of untreated water in food preparation	Confirmed	Suspected				
Consumption of unpasteurised milk	Confirmed	C Suspected				
Consumption of raw food	$\bigcirc$ Confirmed	© Suspected				
Other factors, specify	Confirmed	Suspected				
Waterborne outbreak (tick all that apply)	(Pre latest form rev	Nsion: 📃 Untreated Water supply)				
Surface water with no treatment	() Confirmed	C Suspected				
Roof collected rainwater with no treatment	$\bigcirc$ Confirmed	© Suspected				
Groundwater not assessed as secure and with no treatment	C Confirmed	C) Suspected				
Source water quality inferior to normal,	Confirmed	© Suspected				
If source water quality inferior to normal, specify						
Inadequately treated water supply	Confirmed	Suspected				
Recent or ongoing treatment process failure	Confirmed	Suspected				
Contamination of post treatment water storage	Confirmed	() Suspected				
Post treatment contamination (other)	Confirmed	(_)Suspected				
If post treatment contamination (other), specify						
Specify the WINZ supply code of the implicated water supply						
Person to person outbreak (tick all that apply)						
Inadequate vaccination cover	Confirmed	C Suspected				
Inadequate vaccination effectiveness	Confirmed	⊖ Suspected				
Exposure to infected person	Q Confirmed	Suspected				
Poor hygiene of cases	Confirmed	C Suspected				
Excessively crowded living conditions	💭 Confirmed	C Suspected				
Unprotected sexual activity	$\bigcirc$ Confirmed	© Suspected				
Compromised immune system	Confirmed	⊂ Suspected				

	046	reak no.	
Factors Contributing to Outbreak			
Environmental outbreak (tick all that apply)	-		
Exposure to contaminated land	C Confirmed	Suspected	
Exposure to contaminated air (including ventilation)	Confirmed	Suspected	
Exposure to contaminated built environments (inc dwellings)	C Confirmed	Suspected	
Exposure to infected animals or animal products	() Confirmed	Suspected	
Exposure to contaminated swimming/spa pools	C Confirmed	Suspected	
Exposure to contaminated other recreational water	Confirmed	Suspected	
Other outbreaks			
Other risk factor, specify	Confirmed	Suspected	
Management of the Outbreak	_	-	
Was there any specific action taken to control the outbreak?	©γes	O No	Unknown
If yes, list the control measures undertaken (tick all that apply)	2004		
Source Specify			
Closure			
Closure			
Closure  Modification of procedures  Cleaning, disinfection			
Closure  Modification of procedures  Cleaning, disinfection  Removal			
Closure Closure Modification of procedures Cleaning, disinfection Removal Treatment			
Closure Closure Modification of procedures Cleaning, disinfection Removal Treatment Exclusion			
Closure Closure Modification of procedures Cleaning, disinfection Removal Treatment Exclusion Isolation			
Closure  Modification of procedures  Cleaning, disinfection  Removal  Treatment  Exclusion  Health education and advice Health warning			
Closure Modification of procedures Cleaning, disinfection Removal Treatment Exclusion Isolation Health education and advice Health warning Vehicles and vectors Removal			
Closure Modification of procedures Cleaning, disinfection Removal Treatment Exclusion Isolation Health education and advice Health warning Vehicles and vectors Removal Treatment Treatment			
Contacts and potential contacts			
Closure Closu			
Closure Closure Cleaning, disinfection Cleaning, disinfection Removal Removal Treatment Exclusion Health education and advice Health education and advice Health warning Vehicles and vectors Removal Treatment Contacts and potential contacts Chemoprophylaxis Vaccination Vaccination			
Colosure Cleaning, disinfection Cleaning, disinfection Cleaning, disinfection Cleaning, disinfection Cleaning, disinfection Cleaning Clea			

Outbr	eak Summary	(	Dutbreak No.	
Mana	gement of the Outbreak			
Was ir	sufficient information supplied to complete the form?	Ves	🔘 No	Unknown
Other	comments on outbreak			
Please	attach a copy of written report if prepared.			
Level	of Evidence Codes			
1	Elevated risk ratio or odds ratio with 95% confidence intervals	s not including 1	AND laboratory e	vidence
2a 2b	Laboratory evidence, same organism and sub type detected in	ais not including	g I I vehicle (to the hi	abest level of
20	identification)			
3a	Compelling evidence, symptomatology attributable to specific	organism e.g. s	crombrotoxin, cigu	atoxin etc
3b	Other association i.e. organism detected at source but not link profiles	ked directly to th	e vehicle or indist	inguishable DNA or PFGE
3c	Raised but not statistically significant relative risk or odds ratio	0		
4	No evidence found but logical deduction given circumstances			
	Version: 2 Octobe	er 2010		

#### Old Outbreak Report Form (version: 3 August 2007)

#### **OUTBREAK REPORT FORM**

Outbreak Summa	ry					Outbreak No.	
Reporting Aut	nority						
Name of public he	alth officer	responsible for	r case				
Date outbreak rep	orted _		C Inte report	erim	C Final re	port - date fin	alised
Disease and In	nplicated	Pathogen, T	'oxin or Ch	emica			
Name of implicate	d pathoger	n, toxin or chem	nical (if knov	/n)			
			Subtype				
Other known	pathogen						
Unknown pathoge	n	🗌 Gastroen	teritis				
		🗌 Other illn	ess (specify)	_			
CASE DEFINITION	l(S)						
Laboratory-confirm	med case						
Other confirmed c	ase						
Probable case							
Outbreak Dem	ographic	<b>s</b>	d			Number	l:d
Number of cases	Lab contirn	ied (as per case (	dern above)			Number Hospit	
	Ouner conii	rmed (as per case	e dem above)			Number Died	
	Probable (a	is per case dern a	idove)				
	Onest of ill	TOLA					
Outbreak dates	Onset of ill						Outburgh an action
Ago of coope	Modian age				Pango	or L	Outbreak ongoing
Age of cases	Number of	malos			Numbo	(years)	
Jucubation pariod	Median	Indies	() days	O hm	Rande	of remaies	( , )
Duration of illness	Median		C days	O hrs	Range		Odays Ohirs
Circumstances	-6	/T	Uays	U IIIS	Range		
Circumstances	or expos	ure/ transm	ISSION				
How was the outb	reak recog	nised and links	among case	s establ	ished? (tio	k all that appl	(Y)
Increase in disc	ease inciden	ce					
Cases attended	a common e	vent			-)		
		urce (eg tood, Wa	ater, environm	ental síte			
	on to persor	n contact with oth	er cases(s)				
Common organ	iism type/sti	ain characteristic	s detween cas	es			
Cother means (s	specity)						

Outbreak Summary		Outbreak No.
Circumstances of Exposure	/Transmission contd	
Type of outbreak (tick one)		
C Common event		
C Common source dispersed in co	mmunity (eg food, water)	
C Common source in specific place	e (eg environmental site, farm animals)	
C Community-wide, person to person	son transmission	
<ul> <li>Institutional (transmission within</li> </ul>	n a defined setting)	
C Household (transmission within	a single household)	
O Other outbreak type (specify)		
C Unknown outbreak type		
Were these cases part of a well-o (eg Common event, institutional, If yes, number exposed Date of exposure	lefined exposed group C Yes environmental, household) 	C No C Unknown
Description of exposure event		
Setting where exposure transmis (Tick all that apply).Note - if food wa Home Hostel/boarding house Hotel/motel Hospital (continuing care) Hospital (continuing care) Hospital (acute care) Prison Farm Other setting (specify)	sprepared at a different place to where it was a prepared at a different place to where it was a Tangi/hui Camp Community/church gathering Childcare centre School Swimming/spa pool Workplace (specify type of workplace)	erage was prepared for consumption consumed, tick each box that applies. Restaurant/cafe Takeaway Supermarket/delicatessen Caterers Abattoir/meat processing plant Other food outlet
Unknown Name of setting (if applicable)		
Address Number	Street	Suburb
Town/City		PostCode
Geographic location where expos	sure/transmission occurred (tick one)	
C Single health district	бл) ————————————————————————————————————	
C Multiple health districts	····	
TLA (spec	cify)	
C Overseas (specify country)		
C Unknown		

Outbreak Summary				Outbreak	No.				
Circumstances of Exposure/Transmission con	td								
Mode of transmission (tick all that apply)									
$\hfill\square$ Foodborne, from consumption of contaminated food or driv	nk (e	excluding wa	ter)						
$\hfill\square$ Waterborne, from consumption of contaminated drinking w	vate	r							
Person to person spread, from (non-sexual) contact with an infected person (including droplets)									
Sexual, from sexual contact with an infected person									
$\square$ Parenteral, from needle stick injury or reuse of contaminated injection equipment									
Environmental, from contact with an environmental source (eg swimming)									
$\hfill\square$ Zoonotic, from contact with an infected animal	Zoonotic, from contact with an infected animal								
$\hfill\square$ Vectorborne, from contact with an insect vector									
Other mode of transmission (specify)									
Unknown mode of transmission									
Vehicle/source of common source outbreak									
was a spectric contaminated rood, water or or environmental vehicle/source identified?       O Definite       O Suspect       O No       O Unknown         If suspected or definite, list all vehicles/sources in detail									
was the vehicle/source linked to a commercial operator?	0	Yes	0	No					
If yes, list all the operators and record whether each had a	Min	istry of Healt	th ap	proved food	saf	ety plan (FSP) in place.			
Name of food operators	Мо	H approved	FSP	in place?					
	0	Yes	0	No	0	Unknown			
	0	Yes	0	No	0	Unknown			
	0	Yes	0	No	0	Unknown			
Evidence for mode of transmission (tick all that apply)									
Epidemiological - case had history of exposure to implicate	d so	urce							
$\square$ Epidemiological - case control or cohort study showed elev	ated	risk for case	es ex	posed to im	plica	ated source			
Laboratory - pathogen/toxin/chemical suspected to have ca water, animal or environmental source	ause	d illness ider	ntifie	d in implicat	ed s	ource eg leftover food,			
$\hfill\square$ Laboratory - pathogen suspected to have caused illness ide	entifi	ied in food h	andl	er					
$\hfill\square$ Environmental investigation - identified critical control point	t fai	lures linked t	im o	plicated sou	rce				
Other evidence (specify)									
No evidence obtained									

Outbreak Summary		Outbreak No.
Factors Contributing to Outbrea	ık	
Foodborne outbreak (tick all that apply)		
Time/temperature abuse	Contamination of food	Unsafe sources
Inadequate reheating of previously cooked food	Cross contamination	Use of ingredients from unsafe sources
<ul> <li>Improper storage prior to preparation</li> </ul>	Contamination from an infected food handler	Use of untreated water in food preparation
Inadequate thawing	Chemical contamination	Consumption of unpasteurised milk
<ul> <li>Preparation too far in advance</li> <li>Undercooking</li> </ul>		Consumption of raw food
Improper hot holding		
<ul> <li>Inadequate cooling or refrigeration</li> <li>Other factor (specify)</li> </ul>		
Unknown factors		
Waterborne outbreak (tick all that apply)		
$\hfill\square$ Contamination of source water	Untreat	ed water supply
Treatment process failure	🗌 Contam	ination of reservoir(s)/holding tank(s)
Post treatment contamination		
Other factor (specify)		
Unknown factors		
Specify the implicated supply distributi zone	ion Zone code	Unknown
Other outbreak (tick all that apply)		
Person to person	Environmental	
Inadequate vaccination coverage	Exposure to	contaminated environment(s)
Inadequate vaccination effectiveness	Exposure to	infected animals or animal products
Exposure to infected people	Exposure to	untreated recreational water
Poor hygiene of cases	Exposure to	contaminated swimming pool
$\hfill\square$ Excessively crowded living conditions	Exposure to	inadequately maintained swimming pool
Unprotected sexual activity		
$\hfill\square$ Needle/syringe reuse by injecting drug $\hfill$	users	
Other factor (specify)		
Unknown factors		
Evidence for implicating a contributing	factor	
Environmental investigation - identified	critical point failure(s)	
Other evidence for factor contributing to outbreak (specify)		

Outbreak Summary			Outbreak No.	
Management of the Outbreak				
Was there any specific action taken to	control the outbreak?	C Yes	C No	C Unknown
If yes, list the control measures unde	rtaken (tick all that apply)			
Source Specif	ÎV.			
Closure				
Modification of procedures				
Cleaning, disinfection				
Removal				
Treatment				
Exclusion				
□ Isolation				
Health education and advice				
Health warning				
Vehicles and vectors				
Removal				
Treatment				
Contacts and potential contacts				
Chemoprophylaxis				
Vaccination				
Health education and advice				
Other control measures (specify)				
Other comments on outbreak				
	_		_	
Has a written outbreak report been p	repared? O Ye	s (	0 No	
IF yes, please send a copy to ESR				

Version: 3rd August 2007