Health effects of long-term exposure to PM

October 2022

In 2021, the World Health Organisation (WHO) published revised recommendations for ambient air quality (WHO 2021). To support this update, WHO published a review paper in 2020 evaluating the best available evidence on the effects of long-term exposure to particulate matter (PM) (Chen & Hoek 2020).

Specifically, the research published updated quantified risk ratios for exposure to PM on premature mortality (people dying earlier than they otherwise would have). They also qualitatively assessed how these risks changed at high and low exposure levels.

Highlights

- There is clear evidence that both PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ are associated with increased mortality from all causes, cardiovascular disease, respiratory disease and lung cancer.
- Associations remained at low exposure levels.
- Associations with PM_{2.5} were more consistent than with PM₁₀.
- There was no evidence of any threshold below which adverse effects did not occur.

(Chen and Hoek 2020)

Key Facts

There is widespread consensus that the relationship between PM and mortality is causal (WHO 2006, WHO 2013, WHO 2021).

For annual $PM_{2.5}$ the certainty of evidence was **high** for mortality due to all-causes, circulatory disease, ischaemic heart disease, stroke, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, acute lower respiratory infection and lung cancer and moderate for respiratory mortality.

For annual PM_{10} the certainty of evidence was **high** for mortality due to all-causes, respiratory disease and lung cancer, moderate for mortality due to circulatory disease, ischaemic heart disease and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and low for stroke mortality. The association was non-significant for annual PM_{10} and acute lower respiratory infection mortality.

Public health protection ideally seeks a threshold concentration below which there is no effect. There is no evidence of a 'safe' threshold for PM.

Research Findings

Following screening and selection, Chen & Hoek systematically reviewed 107 air pollution epidemiology studies. Mean/median annual concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$ ranged from 4.1-54 micrograms per cubic metre (μ g/m³) reported in 70 studies. Mean/median annual concentrations of PM_{10} ranged from 2.2-154 µg/m³ reported in 34 studies.

The studies were carried out in a wide range of countries however, the majority were conducted in North America (sample size n = 62) and Europe (n = 25). Whilst the number of studies from Asia has increased since 2005 (n = 19), there were no studies from Africa, Central and South America. Widely different populations were studied over wide-ranging time periods.

The size of the populations studied varied from a minimum of 192 (France, idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis patients) to a maximum of over 60 million (USA Medicare study). Of note, Chen and Hoek's review included New Zealand's census-mortality study of 1.4 million people for the period 1996 – 1999 (Hales *et al.*, 2021).

Chen & Hoek developed new risk ratios as presented in the table below, to represent the quantitative risks posed by long-term exposure to PM. The association was non-significant for annual PM_{10} and circulatory mortality, stroke and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD).

Pollutant Exposure	Mortality type	No. Studies	Risk Ratio	95% Confidence Interval	Certainty of Evidence
Annual PM _{2.5}	All-cause	25	1.08	1.06 - 1.09	High
	Circulatory	21	1.11	1.09 - 1.14	High
	IHD	22	1.16	1.10 - 1.21	High
	Stroke	16	1.11	1.04 - 1.18	High
	Respiratory	17	1.10	1.03 - 1.18	Moderate
	COPD	11	1.11	1.05 – 1.17	High
	ALRI	4	1.16	1.01 - 1.34	High
	Lung cancer	15	1.12	1.07 – 1.16	High
Annual PM ₁₀	All-cause	17	1.04	1.03 - 1.06	High
	Circulatory	15	1.04	0.99 - 1.10	Moderate
	IHD	13	1.06	1.01 - 1.10	Moderate
	Stroke	9	1.01	0.83 - 1.21	Low
	Respiratory	13	1.12	1.06 - 1.19	High
	COPD	5	1.19	0.95 - 1.49	Moderate
	ALRI	2	-	_	-
	Lung cancer	13	1.08	1.04 - 1.13	High

 IHD = ischaemic heart disease, COPD – chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, ALRI = acute lower respiratory infection

When viewing this table, it is important to note that the risk ratios for PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ cannot be directly compared because the applied increment of 10 μ g/m³ in annual $PM_{2.5}$ represents a much larger contrast than for PM_{10} .

The risk ratio can be interpreted as follows:

Annual PM_{2.5} risk ratio 1.08 (95% Confidence Interval:1.06, 1.09, n = 25)

This means for every 10 μ g/m³ increase in annual concentrations of PM_{2.5}, deaths in the wider population due to all causes increased by 8%, with 95% of the data being between 6 – 9% in 25 epidemiological studies.¹

Discussion

The researchers noted the following important observations:

- Virtually the same pooled effect estimates were found for the European Region, Region of the Americas and the Western Pacific Region.
- The review identified high levels of heterogeneity for most exposure-outcome pairs. *Heterogeneity* is an indicator of the extent to which variations between study estimates is too great to be explained by chance. However, the authors noted a high level of heterogeneity is to be expected given the wide diversity of study locations, population characteristics, level and composition of PM and methodological differences.
- Risk ratios tended to be larger in studies with mean PM_{2.5} concentrations below 10 μ g/m³. This has implications for countries with good air quality (like New Zealand), as it suggests that health improvements are still to be found by reducing PM levels below the current WHO guideline of 10 μ g/m³. Notably, the combined effects estimate for five studies with a mean PM_{2.5} concentration below 10 μ g/m³ was 1.17 (95% CI: 1.12, 1.23). This is double the risk ratio developed in the meta-analysis.
- In general, associations with PM_{2.5} were more consistent than with PM₁₀, particularly for cardiovascular outcomes. The less consistent association for PM₁₀ may reflect the smaller number of studies compared with PM_{2.5} and the lower risk of long-term exposure to coarse particles (i.e., the PM_{10-2.5} fraction).
- Application of WHO's risk of bias tool (WHO 2020) showed that **few studies were at a high** risk of bias in any domain. The researchers concluded that, for the entire body of evidence, it was not likely that important confounding had occurred.

Conclusions

Compared to the previous global WHO evaluation (WHO 2006), the evidence base has increased substantially. Chen & Hoek concluded there is clear evidence that long-term exposure to $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} are both associated with increased mortality from all causes, cardiovascular disease, respiratory disease and lung cancer.

There was no evidence of any threshold below which adverse effects did not occur.

WHO Long-Term PM Guidelines

The long-term WHO 2021 air quality guideline levels are defined as the lowest exposure level of an air pollutant above which WHO is confident that there is an increase in adverse health effects (WHO 2021).

In most situations $PM_{2.5}$ is about 50-80% of PM_{10} by weight, therefore the annual PM_{10} guideline is less protective than that $PM_{2.5}$ guideline. In all situations where both $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} measurements are available, preference should be given to the $PM_{2.5}$ guideline level (WHO, 2021).

Pollutant/ Time Average	Guideline (μg/m³)		
PM _{2.5}			
Annual	5		
PM10			
Annual	15		

References

- Chen J. and Hoek G., 2020. Long-Term exposure to PM and all-cause and cause-specific mortality: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Environment International*. Vol 143. October. 2020. 105974. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2020.105974
- Hales S., Atkinson J., Metcalfe J., Kuschel G. and Woodward A., 2021. Long term exposure to air pollution mortality and morbidity in New Zealand: Cohort study. *Sci Tot Env.* Vol 801. 20 Dec. 149660. doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.149660
- Wickham L., Cridge B., Nicoll R., Powell J., 2022. *Health Effects of Air Pollutant Factsheets: Supporting Information.* Factsheet prepared by Emission Impossible Ltd and ESR for Ministry of Health. October. [Online: <u>www.esr.cri.nz</u>]
- World Health Organisation (WHO), 2006. Air Quality Guidelines Global Update 2005. Particulate matter, ozone, nitrogen dioxide and sulfur dioxide. WHO Regional Office for Europe. Copenhagen. Denmark. [Online: www.euro.who.int]
- WHO, 2013. *Review of evidence on health aspects of air pollution REVIHAAP Project -Technical Report.* WHO Regional Office for Europe. Copenhagen. Denmark. [Online: <u>www.euro.who.int</u>]
- WHO, 2020. *Risk of bias assessment instrument for systematic reviews informing WHO global air quality guidelines.* WHO Regional Office for Europe. Copenhagen. Denmark. [Online: <u>www.who.int</u>]
- WHO, 2021. WHO global air quality guidelines. Particulate matter (PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀), ozone, nitrogen dioxide, sulfur dioxide and carbon monoxide. Geneva. [Online: www.who.int]

¹ For more information on the study methods and interpreting risk ratios please see the separate fact sheet titled "Health Effects of Air Pollutant Factsheets: Supporting Information". (Wickham *et al.* 2022)